

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,681	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Puneet Bhandari	2666	

All Participants:

(1) Puneet Bhandari.

(2) Jay H. Maioli (Reg. no. 27,213).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Replacing word "signal" with circuit on line 15, claim 6.

Removing extra space after word peak on line 32, claim 23.

Claims discussed:

claims 6 & 23


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)